Optimization and Evaluation of an FFPE Dual Extraction Protocol for Next-**Generation Sequencing Applications**

Rebecca Hong, Jieying Chu, Steven Hsiao, Daniel Whang, Qinqin Zha, Steven P. Rivera, Heng Xie, Jiannan Guo NeoGenomics Laboratories, Aliso Viejo, CA

Background

Formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) biopsies are highly valuable and widely used tissue specimens for clinical diagnostics. However, obtaining sufficient and high-quality nucleic acid material from limited FFPE samples presents a challenge for downstream molecular analysis, such as next-generation sequencing (NGS). We present an optimized sequential extraction method that generates high-quality DNA and RNA from a single set of input tissues that is automatable and operationfriendly.

Methods

8 FFPE samples were macrodissected and nucleic acid were extracted by using 4 different extraction kits. DNA and RNA yield, quality, purity and impacts on NGS assay performances were evaluated.

Overview of Extraction Workflow



Fig 1 A: The general workflow of each kit. The Promega kit does start with a separate DNA and RNA process that ends on the Maxwell. The other 3 kits follow a sequential workflow, allowing DNA to be separated from the RNAcontaining supernatant after a Proteinase K incubation time and processed independently. Omega and ThermoFisher both utilize the KingFisher.

Fig 1B: Clinical FFPE samples

Sample ID	Tumor Content %	Tumor Size (mm)	Tumor Tissue Type	Tissue Input
07	80%	27	Endometrium	1 section;10 μm thickness
08	50%	15	Lung	1 section;10 μm thickness
10	70%	16	Colon	1 section;10 μm thickness
11	30%	25	Ovary and Oviduct, Left	1 section;10 μm thickness
12*	70%	16	Colectomy	1 section;10 μm thickness
13	40%	28	Colon	1 section;10 μm thickness
14	30%	23	Ascitic Fluid (Abdomen)	1 section;10 μm thickness
15	70%	16	Lymph node, Right Flank	1 section;10 μm thickness



consistency.



RNA DV200 by Kit

iks 70

E



All trademarks are the property of their respective owners. Any company, product, and service names are used for identification only. Use of these names does not imply endorsement.

© 2022 NeoGenomics Laboratories, Inc. All Rights Reserved. Rev. 080422

Fig 2A: Omega has the highest DNA yields across samples and good

Fig 2B: Omega and Covaris have comparable RNA yields.

Fig 2C: Promega had slightly longer RNA fragments

TSO500HT Feasibility Data



Fig 3: Omega manual DNA extraction at 40 ng input had higher library complexity than Promega

Comparison between Omega and Covaris kit



Fig 4A: Omega had a higher DNA yield. RNA yield is comparable



Fig 4B: DV200 is comparable between Omega and Covaris kit



Abstract #: 5054

Deparaffinized Slides



Fig 5A: Omega kit showed there is no significant differences with using mineral oil versus no mineral oil treatment prior to the proteinase K digestion on deparaffinized tissue samples.



Fig 5B: Omega kit showed there is no significant differences with using mineral oil versus no mineral oil treatment prior to the proteinase K digestion on deparaffinized tissue samples.

Key findings

An optimized sequential extraction method generates highquality DNA and RNA from a single set of input tissues that is automatable and operation-friendly. This workflow performs well with reduced FFPE tissue input and efficiently supports various high-throughput clinical NGS applications.

Conclusions

An optimized FFPE extraction method allows more clinical biopsy samples to be tested with different NGS workflows, providing a better diagnostic value for patient care.

Promega automated

2.50E+08

2.00E+08

1.00E+08

RNA Yield

